

STATE OF FLORIDA
OFFICE OF FINANCIAL REGULATION,
DIVISION OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS



IN RE:

MARVIN N. BLITZ,

Admin. File No. 0138-B-7/04

Respondent.

DEFAULT FINAL ORDER OF REMOVAL AND PROHIBITION

The State of Florida, Office of Financial Regulation, Division of Financial Institutions ("Office"), being authorized and directed to administer and enforce the Florida Financial Institutions Codes, Title XXXVIII, Florida Statutes (2004), enters this Default Final Order of Removal and Prohibition ("Default Final Order") against Marvin N. Blitz ("Blitz"), a former President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director at the First State Bank of Fort Lauderdale ("Bank"), for engaging in unsafe or unsound practices, prohibited acts or practices, willful violations of laws relating to financial institutions, and acts that were a breach of trust or fiduciary duty, involved personal dishonesty from which he received financial gain, and from which the Bank suffered loss and other damage. In support thereof, the Office states as follows:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On November 15, 2004, the Office issued an Administrative Complaint for Order of Removal and Prohibition with Notice of Rights ("Administrative Complaint") against Blitz. Exhibit "A," which is hereby incorporated by reference as if set forth in its entirety.
2. On November 17, 2004, service of the Administrative Complaint was perfected on Blitz. Exhibit "B," which is hereby incorporated by reference as if set forth in its entirety.
3. The Administrative Complaint included a Notice of Rights that fully advised Blitz

that he had the right, within twenty-one days after receipt of the Administrative Complaint, to petition the Office for an administrative hearing concerning the allegations set forth in the Administrative Complaint, and that Blitz's failure to petition the Office would constitute a waiver of such right.

4. As of the date of the entry of this Default Final Order, Blitz has failed to petition the Office for an administrative hearing, and has failed to communicate or file any other document with the Office, including, but not limited to, a request for an extension of time pursuant to Rule 28-106.111(3), Florida Administrative Code. The time to file a petition for hearing having passed, Blitz has waived all rights to an administrative hearing.

5. The Statements of Fact, as contained in the Administrative Complaint, being uncontested by Blitz, are therefore accepted as true and correct, and are adopted by the Office as findings of fact for this Default Final Order, and are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth in this Default Final Order.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

6. As Blitz has failed to file a petition for hearing within twenty-one days after receipt of the Administrative Complaint, Blitz has waived his right to a hearing pursuant to Rule 28-106.111(4), Florida Administrative Code.

7. The Conclusions of Law as contained in the Administrative Complaint are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth in this Default Final Order, and being uncontested by Blitz, are accepted as true and correct, and are adopted by the Office as conclusions of law for this Default Final Order.

FINAL ORDER


After consideration of the matters addressed in Section 655.031(1), Florida Statutes, and the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, it is hereby ORDERED that Marvin N. Blitz is:

1) Removed, for a period of ten years commencing from the date of entry of this Default Final Order, from any positions he holds at any state financial institution;

2) Prohibited, for a period of ten years commencing from the date of entry of this Default Final Order, from participating in the affairs of any state financial institution without the prior, express written consent of the Office per Section 655.037(7), Florida Statutes; and

3) Prohibited, for a period of ten years commencing from the date of entry of this Default Final Order, from serving as an officer, director, committee member, or employee of, or other person participating in the conduct of the affairs of, any state financial institution in this state without the prior, express written consent of the Office in accordance with Section 655.037(7), Florida Statutes.

DONE AND ORDERED this day of December 9th, 2004, in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.



Donald B. Saxon, Commissioner
Office of Financial Regulation

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

ANY PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS DEFAULT FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW PURSUANT TO SECTION 120.68, FLORIDA STATUTES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS ARE GOVERNED BY THE FLORIDA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE. SUCH PROCEEDINGS ARE COMMENCED BY FILING ONE COPY OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH:

AGENCY CLERK
OFFICE OF FINANCIAL REGULATION
SUITE 526, THE FLETCHER BUILDING
200 EAST GAINES STREET
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0379

AND A SECOND COPY, ACCOMPANIED BY THE FILING FEES PRESCRIBED BY LAW, WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL, FIRST DISTRICT, OR WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE PARTY RESIDES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN THIRTY DAYS OF RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Default Final Order of Removal and Prohibition has been sent to Marvin C. Blitz, at 11166 Stony Brook Lane, Boynton Beach, Florida 33437, and to James Bunn, as President, Valley Bank, 424 West Sunrise Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33311, via Certified U.S. Mail, Delivery to Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, this day of December 9, 2004.


Bruce Kuhse (Fla. Bar No. 0308470)
Assistant General Counsel
Office of Financial Regulation
Suite 526, The Fletcher Building
200 E. Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0379
(850) 410-9896

STATE OF FLORIDA
OFFICE OF FINANCIAL REGULATION,
DIVISION OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

IN RE:

MARVIN N. BLITZ,

Admin. File No. 0138-B-7/04


Respondent.
_____ /

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT FOR ORDER OF REMOVAL
AND PROHIBITION WITH NOTICE OF RIGHTS

The State of Florida, Office of Financial Regulation, Division of Financial Institutions ("Office"), gives notice that it, having reason to believe that Marvin N. Blitz ("Blitz"), a former President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director at the First State Bank of Fort Lauderdale ("Bank"), has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, prohibited acts or practices, willful violations of laws relating to financial institutions, and acts that were a breach of trust or fiduciary duty, involved personal dishonesty from which he received financial gain, and from which the Bank suffered loss and other damage, files this Administrative Complaint for Order of Removal and Prohibition with Notice of Rights ("Administrative Complaint"), seeking to remove Blitz from any positions he holds at any state financial institution, and bar Blitz from future service as an officer, director, committee member, or employee of, or other person participating in the conduct of the affairs of, any state financial institution in this state, pursuant to the provisions of Sections 655.012 and 655.037, Florida Statutes (2004). In support thereof, the Office states as follows:

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. At all times material hereto, the First State Bank of Fort Lauderdale was a state financial institution, as that term is defined in Section 655.005(1)(p), Florida Statutes, with its



principal place of business at 424 West Sunrise Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, Florida. Prior to May 1, 2002, the First State Bank of Fort Lauderdale was named Sunniland Bank. On or about June 4, 2004, the First State Bank of Fort Lauderdale was renamed Valley Bank. In this Administrative Complaint, the name "First State Bank of Fort Lauderdale" or "Bank" will be used throughout for ease of reading.

2. Blitz was an officer and employee of the First State Bank of Fort Lauderdale from approximately March 2000 through approximately June 2004. In or about September 2001, Blitz became a director for the Bank. In January 2002, and at all times material hereto, Blitz was the Bank's President, Chief Executive Officer (CEO), and a Director. Thus, Blitz was a financial institution-affiliated party, as that term is defined in Section 655.005(1)(i), Florida Statutes.

3. In January 2002, and at all times material hereto, Jay Koenigsberg ("Koenigsberg") was a businessperson with two commercial deposit accounts at the Bank. The accounts were for Interactive Staffing, Inc., and Vex Corp, with Koenigsberg identified as the President of each business.

4. The Office is the agency of the State of Florida responsible for the enforcement of the laws governing financial institutions authorized or chartered by the State of Florida, and financial institution-affiliated persons associated with such institutions, including officers and employees. §§ 655.001, 655.005(1)(i) and (j), 655.012, 655.037(1), Fla. Stat.

5. In December 2001, Koenigsberg approached Blitz to inquire about obtaining a line of credit from the Bank for his company Vex Corp. Koenigsberg initially sought a credit limit of \$100,000, but expressed anticipation of a need for growth of the line of credit to \$1,000,000 or more. At the time of the inquiry, the Bank's lending limit was approximately \$665,000, based upon the limitations and requirements of Section 658.48, Florida Statutes.

6. On or about January 18, 2002, Koenigsberg and Blitz signed an "Acknowledgement," prepared by Blitz, of entering into a "Business Cooperation Agreement." Blitz signed the Acknowledgement "as trustee" and not in any official capacity as an officer, director, or employee of the Bank. The Acknowledgement listed Blitz's receipt of \$18,700 as consideration for Blitz from Koenigsberg. In exchange, Blitz was to obtain investor capital and/or loan financing for Koenigsberg and Vex Corp from sources outside the Bank because the projected amounts exceeded the Bank's statutory lending limit.

7. On or about January 22, 2002, per the terms of the Acknowledgement, Koenigsberg made a payment in cash to Blitz in the amount of approximately \$18,700. This payment occurred in Blitz's office at the Bank.

8. Subsequent to the cash payment of January 22, 2002, Blitz requested an additional payment of approximately \$13,000 from Koenigsberg to seek the outside investor capital and/or loan financing. Koenigsberg paid the additional \$13,000, also in cash, to Blitz at the Bank.

9. Blitz did not request prior permission from the Bank's Board of Directors to execute the Acknowledgement with Koenigsberg. Blitz did not disclose to the Bank's Board of Directors either the execution of the Acknowledgement or the receipt of the approximately \$31,700 in cash payments. Blitz did not disclose to the Bank's Board of Directors his efforts on behalf of Koenigsberg and Vex Corp to seek arrangements for outside investor capital and/or loan financing.

10. On or about February 19, 2002, Koenigsberg, on behalf of Vex Corp, and Blitz, on behalf of the Bank, executed a note that provided Vex Corp with a line of credit in the amount of \$100,351.40. Koenigsberg personally guaranteed the note.

11. On or about April 12, 2002, Koenigsberg, on behalf of Vex Corp, and Blitz, on

behalf of the Bank, executed a second note that provided Vex Corp with an increased line of credit in the amount of \$365,000.

12. On or about June 5, 2002, Koenigsberg received a \$35,000 interest-only personal loan and line of credit from the Bank.

13. On or about June 12, 2002, Blitz recommended to the Bank's Board of Directors that Vex Corp's line of credit be increased to \$625,000. Blitz's recommendation stated in part: "It is the opinion of management that this account is well collateralized, and that the Borrower and guarantors have full ability to service the debt and continue growth of the company. . . . [T]his account properly managed by First State Bank will continue to perform and be a profitable asset to the bank." The Board of Directors then approved the increased line of credit.

14. On or about June 13, 2002, Koenigsberg, on behalf of Vex Corp, and Blitz, on behalf of the Bank, executed a third note that provided Vex Corp with an increased line of credit in the amount of \$625,000.

15. On or about September 5, 2002, Vex Corp filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Florida, Bankruptcy Petition Number 02-26646.

16. In June 2003, the Bank took chargeoffs for \$625,000 and \$33,398.56 as unrecoverable losses for the Vex Corp line of credit and Vex Corp checking account overdrafts respectively. The Bank also took a chargeoff for the loss of the entire principal and interest owed on the \$35,000 personal loan to Koenigsberg.

17. On or about December 31, 2003, the Bankruptcy Trustee for Vex Corp filed a complaint for an adversary proceeding against the Bank and Blitz. The complaint sought to recover damages in regards to the loans and lines of credit activities for Vex Corp in 2003.

18. On or about May 26, 2004, the Bankruptcy Trustee for Vex Corp and the Bank reached a settlement agreement on the adversary bankruptcy action. The Bank agreed to pay the Trustee \$365,000 for the release of all claims against the Bank and dismissal of the case. The Bankruptcy Court issued orders approving the settlement on May 27, 2004, and overruling all objections to the settlement on June 4, 2004. The Bank paid the \$365,000 to the Trustee on or about June 4, 2004.

19. Section 655.037(1), Florida Statutes, states in pertinent part:

The office may issue and serve upon any financial institution-affiliated party and upon the state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation involved, a complaint stating charges whenever the office has reason to believe that the financial institution-affiliated party is engaging or has engaged in conduct that is:

- (a) An unsafe or unsound practice;
- (b) A prohibited act or practice;
- (c) A willful violation of any law relating to financial institutions;
- (d) A violation of any other law involving fraud or moral turpitude which constitutes a felony; [or]

* * * *

- (i) An act of commission or omission or a practice which is a breach of trust or a breach of fiduciary duty.

20. Section 655.005(1)(r), Florida Statutes, defines an “unsafe or unsound practice” as:

[A]ny practice or conduct found by the office to be contrary to generally accepted standards applicable to the specific financial institution, or a violation of any prior order of a state or federal regulatory agency, which practice, conduct, or violation creates the likelihood of loss, insolvency, or dissipation of assets or otherwise prejudices the interest of the specific financial institution or its depositors or members.

21. Section 655.0322, Florida Statutes, defines “prohibited acts and practices,” and establishes criminal penalties for violations thereof, including as follows:

(2) It is unlawful for any financial institution-affiliated party to ask for, willfully and knowingly receive or consent to receive any commission, emolument, gratuity, money, property, or thing of value for:

- (a) Procuring, or endeavoring to procure, for any person a loan or

extension of credit from such financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation.

22. Section 655.0386(1), Florida Statutes, prohibits transactions that represent a conflict of interest as follows:

(1) CONFLICT OF INTEREST.—A financial institution-affiliated party may not engage or participate, directly or indirectly, in any business or transaction conducted on behalf of or involving the state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation which would result in a conflict of the party's own personal interests with those of the state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation with which he or she is affiliated, unless:

(a) Such business or transactions are conducted in good faith and are honest, fair, and reasonable to the state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation and are on terms no more favorable than would be offered to a disinterested third party;

(b) A full disclosure of such business or transaction and the nature of the financial institution-affiliated party's interest is made to the board of directors;

(c) Such business or transactions are approved in good faith by the board of directors, any interested director abstaining, and such approval is recorded in the minutes;

(d) Any profits inuring to the financial institution-affiliated party are not at the expense of the state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation and do not prejudice the best interests of the state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation in any way; and

(e) Such business or transactions do not represent a breach of the financial institution-affiliated party's fiduciary duty and are not fraudulent, illegal, or ultra vires.

23. Section 658.33(4), Florida Statutes, requires that:

Each director, upon assuming office, must acknowledge that he or she is familiar with his or her responsibilities as a director and that he or she will diligently and honestly administer the affairs of the bank or trust company and will not knowingly violate, or willfully permit to be violated, any of the provisions of the financial institutions codes or pertinent rules of the commission.

24. Section 687.141(1), Florida Statutes, prohibits a loan broker from assessing or collecting an advance fee from a borrower for services by the loan broker. Section 687.14, Florida Statutes, provides the applicable definitions as follows:

(1) "Advance fee" means any consideration which is assessed or collected, prior to the closing of a loan, by a loan broker.

(2) "Borrower" means a person obtaining or desiring to obtain a loan of money, a credit card, or a line of credit.

* * * *

(4) "Loan broker" means any person, except any bank or savings and loan association, trust company, building and loan association, credit union, consumer finance company, retail installment sales company, securities broker-dealer, real estate broker or sales associate, attorney, federal Housing Administration or United States Department of Veterans Affairs approved lender, credit card company, installment loan licensee, mortgage broker or lender, or insurance company, provided that the person excepted is licensed by and subject to regulation or supervision of any agency of the United States or this state and is acting within the scope of the license; and also excepting subsidiaries of licensed or chartered consumer finance companies, banks, or savings and loan associations; who:

(a) For or in expectation of consideration arranges or attempts to arrange or offers to fund a loan of money, a credit card, or a line of credit;

(b) For or in expectation of consideration assists or advises a borrower in obtaining or attempting to obtain a loan of money, a credit card, a line of credit, or related guarantee, enhancement, or collateral of any kind or nature.

25. Bribery, graft, and conflicts of interest are also prohibited under federal law applicable to financial institutions. 18 U.S.C. § 215(a)(2) provides that whoever:

[A]s an officer, director, employee, agent, or attorney of a financial institution, corruptly solicits or demands for the benefit of any person, or corruptly accepts or agrees to accept, anything of value from any person, intending to be influenced or rewarded in connection with any business or transaction of such institution;

shall be fined not more than \$ 1,000,000 or three times the value of the thing given, offered, promised, solicited, demanded, accepted, or agreed to be accepted, whichever is greater, or imprisoned not more than 30 years, or both, but if the value of the thing given, offered, promised, solicited, demanded, accepted, or agreed to be accepted does not exceed \$ 1,000, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

26. Section 655.037(3), Florida Statutes, states in pertinent part:

If . . . the office finds that any of the charges in the complaint are true and that the state financial institution has suffered or will likely suffer loss or other damage or that the interests of the depositors, members, or shareholders could be seriously prejudiced by reason of such violation or practice or breach of fiduciary duty or that the financial institution-affiliated party has received financial gain by reason of such violation, practice, or breach of fiduciary duty, and that such violation, practice, or breach of fiduciary duty is one involving personal dishonesty on the part of such financial institution-affiliated party or a continuing disregard for the safety or soundness of the state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation, the office may enter an order removing the financial institution-affiliated party or restricting or prohibiting participation by such financial institution-affiliated party in the affairs of that particular state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation or any other state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation.

27. Although Blitz is not currently employed by the Bank, he remains a financial institutional-affiliated party subject to the regulatory jurisdiction and authority of the Office as provided in Section 655.037(8), Florida Statutes, which states:

The resignation, termination of employment or participation, or separation from a state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation of the financial institution-affiliated party does not affect the jurisdiction and authority of the office to issue any notice and proceed under this section against such financial institution-affiliated party, if such notice is served before the end of the 6-year period beginning on the date such person ceases to be such a financial institution-affiliated party with respect to such state financial institution, subsidiary, or service corporation.

28. Blitz owed a fiduciary duty, duty of care, and duty of loyalty to the Bank during the period of time he was a director, officer, or employee of the Bank.

29. The conduct of Blitz in handling Koenigsberg's loan and credit requests, including the execution of the Acknowledgement for the Business Cooperation Agreement, demand and acceptance of fees, and non-disclosure to the Bank's Board of Directors, was contrary to generally accepted applicable banking and business standards, created large financial losses for

the Bank, and otherwise prejudiced the interests of the Bank or its depositors, and was an unsafe or unsound practice.

30. Blitz's solicitation and acceptance of fees from Koenigsberg for Blitz's services in obtaining loans and credit for Koenigsberg and Vex Corp were prohibited acts and practices in violation of Section 655.0322, Florida Statutes.

31. Blitz's solicitation and acceptance of advance fees from Koenigsberg for Blitz's services to attempt to arrange for investor capital and/or loan financing for Koenigsberg and Vex Corp from sources outside the Bank was outside the scope of his duties and authority with the Bank and were prohibited acts in violation of Section 687.141, Florida Statutes.

32. Blitz's entering into the secret Business Cooperation Agreement with Koenigsberg, to attempt to arrange for investor capital and/or loan financing for Koenigsberg and Vex Corp from sources outside the Bank, while continuing to personally handle the Bank's approval of loan and credit to Koenigsberg and Vex Corp, and the non-disclosure to the Bank's Board of Directors, was a prohibited conflict of interest in violation of Section 655.0386, Florida Statutes.

33. Blitz corruptly solicited and accepted fees from Koenigsberg for Blitz's services in obtaining loans and credit for Koenigsberg and Vex Corp in violation of the Bank Bribery Act, 18 U.S.C. § 215(a)(2).

34. Blitz was required to have knowledge of his responsibilities as a director, to diligently and honestly administer the affairs of the Bank, and not knowingly violate any of the provisions of the financial institutions codes.

35. The Board of Directors, shareholders, and depositors for the First State Bank of Fort Lauderdale trusted Blitz to perform his duties as an officer and director diligently and honestly. Blitz knowingly and dishonestly breached that trust and his fiduciary duty, duty of care, and duty

of loyalty to the Bank in 2002 through his course of dealings with Koenigsberg and Vex Corp.

36. Blitz received personal financial gain by reason of his personal dishonesty in engaging in unsafe and unsound practices, engaging in prohibited acts and practices, breach of trust, breach of fiduciary duty, and willful violations of laws relating to financial institutions including Sections 655.0322, 655.0386, and 687.141 Florida Statutes, and 18 U.S.C. § 215(a)(2).

37. The First State Bank of Fort Lauderdale has suffered financial losses and damages, including loan losses, write-offs, settlement costs, and legal costs and fees as a direct result of Blitz's improper and illegal actions as a director, officer, and employee of the Bank.

38. The Office concludes, pursuant to Section 655.0321, Florida Statutes, that this Administrative Complaint shall be a public document, and should Blitz request a hearing, said hearing shall be conducted as a public proceeding, and that all documents related to such hearing be public documents, unless said documents are confidential, or subject to a protective order or confidentiality order, pursuant to Federal or Florida law.

AGENCY ACTION

Based on the foregoing Statement of Facts and Conclusions of Law, the Office intends to:

- 1) Remove Blitz from any positions he holds at any state financial institution;
- 2) Prohibit Blitz from participating in the affairs of any state financial institution without the prior, express written consent of the Office per Section 655.037(7), Florida Statutes; and
- 3) Prohibit Blitz from serving as an officer, director, committee member, or employee of, or other person participating in the conduct of the affairs of, any state financial institution in this state without the prior, express written consent of the Office in accordance with Section 655.037(7), Florida Statutes.

This Administrative Complaint for Order of Removal and Prohibition with Notice of Rights is issued this day of November 15, 2004.



Bruce Kuhse
Assistant General Counsel

NOTICE OF RIGHTS

Blitz is advised that he may request a hearing to be conducted in accordance with the provisions of Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes. Requests for such a hearing must comply with the provisions of Rule 28-106.104(2), Florida Administrative Code, and either Rule 28-106.201(2) or 28-106.301(2), Florida Administrative Code, and must be filed with:

Clerk
Office of Financial Regulation
Legal Office
Suite 526, The Fletcher Building
200 E. Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0379
(850) 410-9896

within twenty-one (21) days after Blitz, or his representative or counsel, receives a copy of this Administrative Complaint.

As indicated, failure to respond within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of this denial shall be deemed to be a waiver of all rights to a hearing, and a Final Order, adopting this Administrative Complaint in toto, will be entered without further notice. Should Blitz request a hearing, he will have the right to be represented by counsel or other qualified representative; to offer testimony, either written or oral; to call and cross-examine witnesses; and to have subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum issued on Blitz's behalf.

Pursuant to Section 120.573, Florida Statutes, Blitz is advised that mediation is not available.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Administrative Complaint for Order of Removal and Prohibition with Notice of Rights has been sent to Marvin C. Blitz, at 11166 Stony Brook Lane, Boynton Beach, Florida 33437, and to James Bunn, as President, Valley Bank, 424 West Sunrise Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33311, via Certified U.S. Mail, Delivery to Addressee Only, Return Receipt Requested, this day of November 15, 2004.



Bruce Kuhse (Fla. Bar No. 0308470)
Assistant General Counsel
Office of Financial Regulation
Suite 526, The Fletcher Building
200 E. Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0379
(850) 410-9896



Home

Track & Confirm

Marvin Blitz

Current Status

You entered 7003 0500 0002 8449 3223

Your item was delivered at 12:42 pm on November 17, 2004 in BOYNTON BEACH, FL 33437.

Notification Options

▶ Track & Confirm by email [What is this?](#) [Go >](#)

Track & Confirm

Enter label number:

[Go >](#)

[Track & Confirm FAQs](#) [Go >](#)



site map contact us government services
Copyright © 1999-2002 USPS. All Rights Reserved. Terms of Use Privacy Policy

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>M. Blitz</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee	
1. Article Addressed to: Marvin C. Blitz 11166 Stony Brook Lane Boynton Beach, FL 33437	B. Received by (Printed Name) <i>M. Blitz</i>	C. Date of Delivery
0138-B-7/04 BEK 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
PS Form 3811 August 2001	3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
7003 0500 0002 8449 3223 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540		

